

APPENDIX B

DEVELOPMENT OF RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS

Development of Risk-Based Screening Levels

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility 3200 Fruitland Avenue, Vernon, California

Prepared for:

Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc.

Prepared by:

Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. 510 Superior Avenue, Suite 200 Newport Beach, California 92663 (949) 642-0245

July 20, 2007 Revised July 23, 2008 Project No. 10627.003.0





DEVELOPMENT OF RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

July 20, 2007 Revised July 23, 2008 Project No. 10627.003.0

This report was prepared by the staff of Geomatrix Consultants, Inc., under the supervision of the Senior Toxicologists whose signatures appear hereon.

The findings, recommendations, specifications, or professional opinions are presented within the limits described by the client, after being prepared in accordance with generally accepted professional engineering and geologic practice. No warranty is expressed or implied.

Todd Bernhardt Senior Toxicologist

Ann Holbrow Senior Toxicologist

Jan M. Holbrow



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.0	TOXI	CITY ASSESSMENT	1
3.0	RISK-	-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL	2
	3.1	Risk-Based Screening Levels For Soil (Non-Lead Exposures)	3
	3.2	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Exposure to Lead In Soil	
4.0	RISK-	-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL VAPOR	5
	4.1	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Migration of VOCs To Indoor Air	5
	4.2	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Soil Vapor for Migration of VOCs to Ambi	
		Air	
5.0	UNCE	ERTAINTY ANALYSIS	9
	5.1	Environmental Fate and Transport	9
	5.2	Exposure Assumptions and Parameters	9
	5.3	Toxicity Criteria	10
6.0	REFE	RENCES	12
		TABLES	
Table	B-1	Toxicity Criteria for Chemicals of Potential Concern	
Table		Physicochemical Constants for Chemicals of Potential Concern	
Table	B-3	Exposure Parameters Used in Developing Risk-Based Screening Level	is for
Table	R-4	Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Workers Exposure Parameters Used in Developing Risk-Based Screening Level	ls for
Table	5 ,	Construction Workers	0 101
Table	B-5	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Chemicals of Potential Concern in So	il —
T-61-	D C	Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker	
Table	D-0	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Chemicals of Potential Concern in So Construction Worker	II —
Table	B-7	Health-Based Screening Levels for Lead in Soil	
Table	B-8	Exposure Parameters Used in Developing Risk-Based Screening Level	ls for
Table	DΛ	Indoor Commercial/Industrial Workers Risk-Based Screening Levels for Chemicals of Potential Concern in So	.:1
rabie	D-9	Vapor - Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker	II
Table	B-10	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Chemicals of Potential Concern in So	il
		Vapor – Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker Exposure to Ambient A	
Table	B-11	Risk-Based Screening Levels for Chemicals of Potential Concern in So	il
		Vapor – Construction Worker Exposure to Ambient Air	
		ATTACHMENTS	

Attachment A-1 Additional Equations Used in Soil Vapor Screening Level Calculations
Attachment A-2 Risk Assessment Assumptions



Attachment B-1	Lead Risk Assessment Spreadsheet, California Department of Toxic
	Substances Control, Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker
Attachment B-2	Lead Risk Assessment Spreadsheet, California Department of Toxic
	Substances Control, Construction Worker
Attachment C-1	Soil Vapor Attenuation Factors for Vapor Intrusion – Indoor
	Commercial/Industrial Worker



DEVELOPMENT OF RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility 3200 Fruitland Avenue Vernon, California

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Risk-based screening criteria were used to evaluate potential human health risks associated with chemical exposure. California Human Health Screening Levels (CHHSLs) published by the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal-EPA) Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) (2005a) were used where available. These screening numbers were developed in cooperation with the Cal-EPA Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) and the California State Water Resources Control Board as required by the California Land Environmental Restoration and Reuse Act. Risk-based screening levels (RBSLs) were developed for chemicals for which CHHSLs were not available or when more appropriate site-specific values were required (e.g., for the evaluation of potential risks to construction workers).

The site conceptual model describing the exposure assessment for this site is presented in the main text. The receptors identified included a commercial/industrial worker (indoor and outdoor) and a construction worker. This appendix presents the toxicity assessment, the development of RBSLs for each receptor for each medium of concern – soil and soil vapor – as appropriate, and an uncertainty analysis.

2.0 TOXICITY ASSESSMENT

The toxicity criteria for cancer risks and noncancer adverse health effects used in deriving the RBSLs are presented in Table B-1. The hierarchy of references used for selecting these toxicity criteria is as follows:

- 1. OEHHA Toxicity Criteria Database, 2008, or OEHHA Chronic Reference Exposure Levels, 2005b;
- 2. United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database, 2008; and
- Other U.S. EPA toxicity criteria, as recommended or provided for specific chemicals in OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposure-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil or U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), October. The other U.S. EPA sources include Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values (PPRTVs), values from the



National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA), and values from U.S. EPA Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables, 1997.

In the event that an inhalation reference dose or slope factor was not available, route extrapolation from oral exposure was used in the calculations, unless clear toxicological evidence indicates this extrapolation is inappropriate for a specific chemical. Toxicity criteria for dermal exposure were derived using the oral reference dose (RfD) or cancer slope factor (CSF) without adjustment for reduced gastrointestinal absorption efficiency, consistent with the approach used to derive most CHHSLs (OEHHA 2005a). Surrogate toxicity criteria were used when no other criteria were available for a specific chemical. Specific surrogates were chosen based on similarities in chemical structure and expected toxicity. Surrogates used in this assessment are presented in Table B-1.

3.0 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL

Future exposure for the outdoor commercial/industrial worker and the construction worker was assumed to be complete for chemicals in soil via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of airborne particulates or volatile organic compounds¹ (VOCs) in ambient air. Future exposure for the indoor commercial/industrial worker was assumed to be complete for VOCs migrating from subsurface soil into indoor air. However, soil vapor is considered a more appropriate medium than soil for assessing potential vapor migration and soil vapor data were used to evaluate potential vapor migration to air and inhalation exposure.

CHHSLs for non-volatile chemicals in soil at a commercial/industrial site (OEHHA, 2005a) are protective of outdoor commercial/industrial worker exposure to soil via incidental ingestion, dermal contact, and inhalation of airborne particulates and have been developed for the nine non-volatile chemicals detected at the Site (after excluding the data not considered for the HHRA [see Section 4.2.1 of the main report]). Additional RBSLs were developed for construction workers for these compounds following the same methodology but using construction worker exposure parameters because CHHSLs have not been developed by OEHHA for this receptor. For VOCs detected at the Site, soil vapor data were used to evaluate potential vapor migration to indoor and ambient air. RBSLs were developed for outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers for the VOCs detected in soil

App B Text 071708 B - 2

¹ Chemicals are identified as VOCs if the molecular weight is less than 200 grams per mole (g/mole) and the Henry's Law Constant is greater than 1x10⁻⁵ atmospheres–cubic meter per mole (atm-m³/mole).

² For two of the nine chemicals, the calculated risk-based screening levels are the same as CHHSLs. For the remaining seven, Aroclor-1232, Aroclor-1248, Aroclor-1254, Aroclor-1260, cadmium, copper, and zinc, the rationale for the differences is provided in Table B-5.



to account for potential exposure via soil incidental ingestion and dermal contact. Lead was evaluated separately based on the unique health effects associated with this compound.

3.1 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL (NON-LEAD EXPOSURES)

The equations used to develop the RBSLs for soil for both outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers are presented below. RBSLs were developed to screen for both cancer risks (Equation 1) and noncancer adverse health effects (Equation 2). These equations consider exposure via incidental ingestion, dermal exposure, and inhalation of particulates (using a particulate emission factor [PEF]). For VOCs, the inhalation pathway component (third component of denominator in Equations (1) and (2)) did not apply in the RBSL calculations.

$$RBSL_{soil-risk} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT_{ca}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{IR_s \times CSF_o}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS \times CSF_o}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{IHR_a \times ET \times CSF_i}{PEF} \right) \right]}$$
(1)

Where: RBSL_{soil-risk} = risk-based soil screening level for cancer risk (mg/kg)

:RBSL_{soil-risk} = risk-based soil screening level for cancer risk (rTR = target cancer risk, 1 x 10⁻⁶ (unitless)

BW = body weight (kg)

AT_{ca} = averaging time - cancer (days)

ED = exposure duration (yr)

EF = exposure frequency (days/yr)

IR_s = ingestion rate of soil (mg/day)

CSF_o = oral cancer slope factor [(mg/kg-day)⁻¹]

CF_{kg-mg} = conversion factor from kilograms to milligrams

SAS = exposed skin surface area (cm²)

SAF = soil-to-skin adherence factor (mg/cm²)

ABS = dermal absorption factor (unitless)

= dermal absorption factor (unitless) ABS

= inhalation rate (m³/hr)
= exposure time (hr/day)
= inhalation cancer slope IHR_a ET

CSF_i PEF = inhalation cancer slope factor [(mg/kg-day)⁻¹] = particulate emission factor (m³ of air/kg of soil)

$$RBSL_{soil-haz} = \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT_{nc}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{1}{RfD_o} \times \frac{IR_s}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_o} \times \frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_i} \times \frac{IHR_a \times ET}{PEF} \right) \right]}$$
(2)

Where: RBSL_{soil-haz} = risk-based soil screening level for noncancer hazard (mg/kg)

THQ = target hazard quotient, 1 (unitless) AT_{nc} = averaging time - noncancer (days) RfD_o = oral reference dose (mg/kg-day) RfD_i = inhalation reference dose (mg/kg-day)

All other terms previously defined



The toxicity criteria for cancer risks and noncancer adverse health effects used in deriving the RBSLs are presented in Table B-1. Chemical-specific dermal absorption factors used in deriving the RBSLs are presented in Table B-2. Values for exposure parameters used in the RBSL calculations are listed in Tables B-3 and B-4 for outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers, respectively. Exposure assumptions for the commercial/industrial workers were obtained from OEHHA (2005a) and U.S. EPA (1991, 1996). Exposure assumptions for the construction worker were obtained from DTSC (1996, 1999a), and U.S. EPA (1991, 2002).

The RBSLs developed to screen the chemical concentrations in soil at the Site and estimate potential outdoor commercial/industrial worker cancer risks and noncancer hazards from exposure to these concentrations are presented in Table B-5. The RBSLs developed to screen the chemical concentrations in soil at the Site and estimate potential construction worker cancer risks and noncancer hazards from exposure to these concentrations are presented in Table B-6.

3.2 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR EXPOSURE TO LEAD IN SOIL

Although a CSF has been published by OEHHA for lead (OEHHA, 2008), noncarcinogenic health effects, particularly for children, occur at much lower concentrations than carcinogenic effects. Separate mathematical models, such as the LeadSpread model developed by the DTSC (1999b), have been developed to evaluate these potential health concerns by estimating blood-lead levels resulting from contact with lead in various media (e.g., soil, air, food). The blood-lead level is of interest because most adverse human health effects are correlated in terms of blood-lead levels (e.g., a blood-lead level of "x" is associated with an increased incidence of adverse health effects). In contrast, risks and adverse health effects for other chemicals are correlated simply in terms of chemical intake.

The LeadSpread model was used to develop health-based screening levels for outdoor commercial/industrial worker and construction worker exposure to total lead in soil that are protective of benchmark blood-lead levels established by DTSC (1999b). Specifically, health-based screening levels were developed to be protective of a blood-lead level of concern of 10 micrograms per deciliter (µg/dL) in 99 percent of the population. The health-based screening levels were calculated using default background concentrations of lead in other environmental media (e.g., air, food, water) and exposure assumptions consistent with default parameters recommended by DTSC for use with LeadSpread with a few exceptions. For outdoor commercial/industrial workers, the soil-to-skin adherence factor used in the derivation of the other RBSLs was used in place of the default LeadSpread value; the default LeadSpread



value is intended for adult residents. Similarly, for construction workers, values used in the derivation of the other RBSLs were used in place of the default LeadSpread values for exposed skin surface area and soil-to-skin adherence factor; the default LeadSpread values for these parameters are intended for commercial/industrial workers and adult residents, respectively. Finally, a soil ingestion rate equivalent to 50 percent of the ingestion rate used in the derivation of the other RBSLs was used for construction workers. This adjustment is consistent with recommended soil ingestion rates by DTSC for use with LeadSpread for other receptors (i.e., residents and workers). Attachments B-1 and B-2 present the LeadSpread calculations used in the derivation of the health-based screening levels for outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers, respectively. The resulting health-based screening levels are summarized in Table B-7.

4.0 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL VAPOR

As described above, future exposure for the indoor commercial/industrial worker was assumed to be complete for chemicals migrating from subsurface soil into indoor air. Similarly, for the outdoor commercial/industrial worker and construction worker assumed to spend 100 percent of their time outdoors, future exposure was considered complete for chemicals migrating from subsurface soil into ambient air. RBSLs were developed for soil vapor concentrations to evaluate vapor migration to indoor or ambient air.

4.1 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR MIGRATION OF VOCS TO INDOOR AIR

This section presents the derivation of RBSLs for migration of VOCs in soil vapor to indoor air for indoor workers. When available, CHHSLs for chemicals in soil vapor at a commercial/industrial site (OEHHA, 2005a) were used to estimate potential cancer risks and noncancer hazards based on inhalation of chemicals in indoor air for the indoor commercial/industrial worker. Construction workers are not considered to spend sufficient time indoors to warrant evaluation via this exposure pathway. The soil vapor CHHSLs used were those derived for current, common slab on grade building construction practices in California, in which a building foundation is separated from underlying soil by a layer of compacted, fine-grained cohesive soil and a layer of sub-slab gravel (OEHHA, 2005a). These soil vapor CHHSLs have been derived using the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) model to predict the transport of chemical vapors from soil into indoor air. Soil vapor CHHSLs were available for five of the seven volatile chemicals detected in soil vapor. Comparable RBSLs were developed for the two remaining volatile chemicals following the CHHSL methodology. The process followed to develop these RBSLs is based on the process presented in Appendix B of OEHHA guidance (2005a) and involves three consecutive steps:



 Calculation of target indoor air concentrations. The equations used to develop the target indoor air concentrations for indoor commercial/industrial workers are presented below, as presented in Appendix B of OEHHA (2005a). Target indoor air concentrations were developed for both cancer risks (Equation 4) and noncancer adverse health effects (Equation 5):

$$C_{ia-risk} = \frac{TR \times ATca}{URF \times EF \times ED}$$
(4)

Where: $C_{ia-risk}$ = target indoor air concentration for cancer risks ($\mu g/m^3$)

URF = unit risk factor $[(\mu g/m^3)^{-1}]$

All other terms previously defined

$$C_{ia-haz} = \frac{THQ \times ATnc}{EF \times ED \times 1 / RfC}$$
(5)

Where: C_{ia-haz} = target indoor air concentration for noncancer hazard (µg/m3)

RfC = reference concentration (µg/m³)

All other terms previously defined

Values of exposure parameters used in the target indoor air concentration calculations are listed in Table B-8. Exposure assumptions for the commercial/industrial workers were obtained from OEHHA (2005a) and U.S. EPA (1991). The toxicity criteria for cancer risks and noncancer adverse health effects used in deriving the target indoor air concentrations are presented in Table B-1.

- 2. Use of the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) model to calculate chemical-specific, soil vapor-to-indoor air attenuation factors. The attenuation factors provided by the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) model relate vapor concentrations in indoor air to vapor concentrations in the subsurface by accounting for the one-dimensional convective and diffusive mechanisms of vapor transport from the subsurface into indoor air. Consistent with OEHHA (2005a), the advanced Johnson and Ettinger model spreadsheets for subsurface vapor intrusion from soil parameterized by U.S. EPA were used to calculate the attenuation (Attachment C-1). Inputs to the advanced model spreadsheets include chemical properties, and unsaturated zone soil properties for sand from OEHHA, 2005a; conservative assumptions regarding other parameters (i.e., structural properties of the building) were based on default values in the model.
- 3. Calculation of the soil vapor RBSLs. The soil vapor RBSLs were estimated from the calculated target indoor air concentrations and attenuation factors using the following equation:



$$RBSL_{soil\ vapor-ia} = \frac{C_{ia}}{\alpha \times CF_{m3-L}}$$
 (6)

Where: RBSL_{soil vapor-ia} = risk-based screening level for soil vapor, indoor air (µg/L)

 C_{ia} = target indoor air concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)

 α = chemical-specific attenuation factor (unitless) CF_{m3-L} = conversion factor from cubic meters to liters

The target commercial/industrial worker indoor air concentrations, attenuation factors, and soil vapor RBSLs estimated for the chemicals detected in soil vapor at the Site are presented in Table B-9.

4.2 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR SOIL VAPOR FOR MIGRATION OF VOCS TO AMBIENT AIR

RBSLs were developed for the chemical concentrations in soil vapor to be protective of potential commercial/industrial worker or construction worker exposure to the concentrations of these chemicals that may migrate into ambient air. The process followed to develop these RBSLs is comparable to the one outlined above for developing soil vapor RBSLs for indoor air exposure, but involves the use of different models to predict vapor flux and dispersion of chemicals from subsurface soil vapor to ambient air:

- 1. Calculation of target ambient air concentrations for both cancer risks and noncancer adverse health effects. The equations used to develop the target ambient air concentrations for outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers are equivalent to the equations used to develop the target indoor air concentrations (Equations 4 and 5 above). Values of exposure parameters used in the target ambient air concentration calculations are listed in Tables B-3 and B-4 for the outdoor commercial/industrial workers and construction workers, respectively. The toxicity criteria for cancer risks and noncancer adverse health effects used in deriving the target ambient air concentrations are presented in Table B-1.
- 2. Use of the X/Q Model to calculate subsurface vapor flux from the target ambient air concentrations. The X/Q dispersion model presented in "Soil Screening Guidance: Users Guide and Technical Background Document" (U.S. EPA, 1996) allows for the prediction of ambient air concentrations of VOCs from a known or estimated subsurface vapor emission rate. The relationship established by the X/Q dispersion model of subsurface vapor flux to ambient air concentration was used to estimate the subsurface vapor emission rate associated with each target ambient air concentration:



$$E_{i} = \frac{C_{oa}}{X/Q} \tag{8}$$

Where: E_i = emission rate (μ g/m²-sec)

 C_{oa} = target ambient air concentration ($\mu g/m^3$)

X/Q = Dispersion factor (mg/m³ per mg/m²-sec); calculated from the inverse dispersion factor as presented in supporting equations in Attachment A-1.

3. Use of the VOC Emission Model to calculate soil vapor screening levels from estimated subsurface vapor flux. After the subsurface vapor flux was estimated, the VOC Emission Model presented in "Soil Screening Guidance: Users Guide and Technical Background Document" (U.S. EPA, 1996) was used to estimate the soil vapor RBSL for ambient air exposures. First the total solute concentration associated with soil vapor was estimated as follows:

$$CT = \frac{E_i \times \sqrt{\pi \times Da \times T}}{2 \times Da \times CF_{m^2 - cm^2}} \tag{9}$$

Where:CT = total solute concentration (µg/cm³)

 E_i = emission rate ($\mu g/m^2$ -sec)

Da = chemical-specific effective diffusivity in soil pore space (cm²/sec); calculated as presented in Attachment A-1, using site-specific assumptions presented in Attachment A-2 and

chemical-specific parameters presented in Table B-2 exposure interval (sec) (equal to exposure duration)

 CF_{m2-cm2} = conversion factor from square meters to square centimeters

The total solute concentration was then used to derive the soil vapor RBSL via the partitioning predicted by Henry's law:

$$RBSL_{soil\ vapor-oa} = \frac{CT}{[(pb \times Kd/H') + Pw/H' + Pa] \times CF_{cm3-L}}$$
(10)

Where: RBSL_{soil vapor-oa}= risk-based screening level for soil vapor, ambient air (µg/L)

 ρ_b = soil bulk density (g/cm³)

Kd = soil-organic partition coefficient (cm³/g)

H' = Henry's Law constant (unitless)
Pw = water-filled soil porosity (unitless)
Pa = air-filled soil porosity (unitless)

 CF_{cm3-l} = conversion factor from cubic centimeters to liters

All other terms previously defined



The soil vapor RBSLs developed for commercial/industrial workers and construction workers for inhalation of ambient air are presented in Tables B-10 and B-11, respectively.

5.0 UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS

Uncertainties are inherent in the development of RBSLs, and the use of these values to derive estimates of potential cancer risk and noncancer health hazards. In the development of screening levels, uncertainty arises from a lack of knowledge of toxicity and dose-response of the chemicals, and the extent to which an individual will be exposed to those chemicals (U.S. EPA, 1989). Assumptions are made based on information presented in the scientific literature or professional judgment. While some assumptions have significant scientific basis, others have less scientific basis. The assumptions that introduce the greatest amount of uncertainty in the development of RBSL are discussed below, consistent with U.S. EPA requirements (1989). Uncertainties associated with other aspects of the risk assessment process, such as site characterization, data evaluation, and the use of screening levels in risk characterization, are presented in the report.

5.1 ENVIRONMENTAL FATE AND TRANSPORT

Fate and transport models were used in the development of RBSLs to predict the migration of vapors into indoor and ambient air. While some site-specific conditions were incorporated into the analysis, the models are screening-level models, which typically are conservative and predict concentrations that overestimate risk. For example, biodegradation of petroleum constituents in the vadose zone is not considered. In addition, assumptions about future building design have been incorporated into the indoor air model (e.g., slab-on-grade foundations). The development of RBSLs is therefore dependent on future building conditions being consistent with those included in the model.

5.2 EXPOSURE ASSUMPTIONS AND PARAMETERS

The exposure parameters used to derive the RBSLs are based on reasonable maximum exposure (RME), which is defined by U.S. EPA as the highest exposure that could reasonably be expected to occur for a given exposure pathway at a site (U.S. EPA, 1989). The exposure parameters associated with a RME scenario are therefore highly conservative. For example, under RME conditions, it is assumed that a commercial/industrial worker is present on-site for 250 days/year for 25 years. The use of such upper-bound estimates in the development of RBSL most likely results in overly protective values.



5.3 TOXICITY CRITERIA

One of the largest sources of uncertainty in any risk assessment is associated with the scientific community's limited understanding of the toxicity of most chemicals in humans following exposure to the low concentrations generally encountered in the environment. The majority of available toxicity data are from animal studies, which are then extrapolated using mathematical models or multiple uncertainty factors to generate toxicity criteria used to predict what might occur in humans. Sources of conservatism in the toxicity criteria used in this evaluation include:

- the use of conservative methods and assumptions to extrapolate from high dose animal studies to predict the possible response in humans at exposure levels far below those administered to animals;
- the assumption that chemicals considered to be carcinogens do not have thresholds (i.e., for all doses greater than zero, some risk is assumed to be present); and
- the fact that epidemiological studies (i.e., human exposure studies) are limited and are not generally considered in a quantitative manner in deriving toxicity values.

The toxicity criteria used in the development of RBSLs were developed using different methods. The noncarcinogenic criteria (i.e., oral and inhalation RfDs) incorporate multiple safety factors to account for limitations in the quality or quantity of available data (e.g., animal data in lieu of human data). These safety factors are applied without regard to available data on the true likelihood of a variation in human response. Therefore, RfDs may be hundreds of times smaller than doses that would actually cause adverse health effects. This purposeful bias in the development of RfDs overestimates the actual potential for noncarcinogenic health risks for these chemicals.

The carcinogenic toxicity criteria (i.e., oral and inhalation CSFs) also are developed using techniques that purposefully bias the criteria toward health conservatism. For example, most CSFs are based on the premise that cancer data from high dose animal studies will predict cancer response in humans at dose levels thousands of times lower. The process also assumes that the carcinogenicity of a chemical in an animal model is representative of the response in humans. Finally, the statistical techniques used by regulatory agencies to extrapolate data from animals to human exposures generally assume that the dose-response curve is linear and that the 95% upper confidence limit of the mean of the slope is representative of the chemical's carcinogenic potency. In aggregate, these assumptions overestimate the actual risk estimates such that they are unlikely to be higher, but could be considerably lower and, in fact, could be non-existent.



A second uncertainty associated with toxicity criteria is the unavailability of RfDs or CSFs for all chemicals at the Site. RBSLs can only be derived for those chemicals for which the relevant toxicity criteria are available. In the absence of data for the inhalation route of exposure, the CSF or RfD for the oral route for these chemicals was used in the evaluation. As a result, the RBSLs for these chemicals may be over- or underestimated. Further, the use of oral toxicity values to assess the dermal pathway introduces additional uncertainty into the results; RBSLs may be overestimated or underestimated using this approach as well. Lastly, in just a few cases, surrogate chemicals were used to represent the toxicity of other chemicals. While the selection and use of surrogates for toxicity criteria is not ideal, the surrogates selected for use in the HHRA were all very closely structurally related to the contaminants they were chosen to represent. A lack of a toxicity criterion would otherwise remain a data gap. The degree of uncertainty contributed by the use of surrogates in this manner is unknown but is not expected to result in significant underestimates of risk.



6.0 REFERENCES

- Budavari, S., A. Smith, P. Heckelman, J. Kinneary, and M.J. O'Neil, 1996, The Merck Index: An Encyclopedia of Chemicals, Drugs, and Biologicals, 12th Edition, Chapman & Hall, June.
- Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), 1996, Supplemental Guidance for Human Health Multimedia Risk Assessments of Hazardous Waste Sites and Permitted Facilities (corrected and reprinted), Office of the Scientific Advisor, California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Sacramento, California.
- DTSC, 1999a, Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Guidance Manual, California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Sacramento, California.
- DTSC, 1999b, Assessment of Health Risks from Inorganic Lead in Soil: Lead Spread Model, Version 7, California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Sacramento, California.
- Johnson, P.C., and R. A. Ettinger, 1991, Heuristic Model for Predicting the Intrusion of Contaminant Vapors into Buildings, Environmental Science and Technology, v. 25, n. 8, p. 1445 1452.
- Montgomery, J.H., 2000, Groundwater Chemicals Desk Reference (Third Edition), Lewis Publishers, New York.
- OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposure-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, California Environmental Protection Agency, January.
- OEHHA, 2005b, Chronic Reference Exposure Levels, February, http://www.oehha.ca.gov/air/chronic_rels/AllChrels.html.
- OEHHA, 2005c, E-mail Correspondence Concerning PCB Oral Cancer Slope Factor, Between David M. Siegel, Ph.D., Chief, Integrated Risk Assessment Branch, and Robert Cheung, Geomatrix Consultants, August 26.
- OEHHA, 2008, OEHHA Toxicity Criteria Database, California Environmental Protection Agency, http://www.oehha.ca.gov/risk/chemicaldata/index.asp.
- OEHHA, 2007, E-mail Correspondence Concerning Carcinogenicity of Cadmium via the Oral Route, Between David M. Siegel, Ph.D., Chief, Integrated Risk Assessment Branch, and Caryn Kelly, Geomatrix Consultants, May 17.
- Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2007, Chemical and Physical Property Database, http://www.dep.state.pa.us/physicalproperties/CASNUM_Search.htm.
- Toxicology Data Network (TOXNET), 2008, Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB), National Library of Medicine, http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB.



- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), 1989, Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual, (Part A), Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Directive 9285.701A, December.
- U.S. EPA, 1991, Human Health Evaluation Manual, Supplemental Guidance: Standard Default Exposure Factors, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA, 1996, Soil Screening Guidance: Users Guide and Technical Background Document, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C., EPA/540/R-95/128, May.
- U.S. EPA, 1997, Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables, FY-1997 Annual, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. EPA, 2000, User's Guide for the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) Model for Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Into Buildings (Revised), December.
- U.S. EPA, 2002, Supplemental Guidance for Developing Soil Screening Levels for Superfund Sites, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, December.
- U.S. EPA, 2003, User's Guide for the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) Model for Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Into Buildings (Revised), December.
- U.S. EPA, 2004a, Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume I: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment), Final, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, 9285.7-02EP, July.
- U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region IX Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), October.
- U.S. EPA, 2008, Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) on-line database, 2008.



TABLES

TABLE B-1 TOXICITY CRITERIA FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

							Carcin	ogenic Tox	city								Chronic No	ncarcinoge	nic Criteria			
		-		Or	al		Dermal		,	Inha	alation				Oral		Dermal			Inhalation		
			OEHHA ¹	U.S. EPA ²	Other ³	Final⁴		OEHHA ¹	OEHHA ¹	U.S. EPA ²	Other ³			U.S. EPA ²	Other ³	Final ⁶	_	ОЕННА	U.S. EPA ²	Other ³		
CAS No.	Chemical	Surrogate	CSFo	CSFo	CSFo	CSFo	CSFd⁵	URF	CSFi	URF	CSFi	Final CSF	1	RfDo	RfDo	RfDo	RfDd⁵	REL ¹	RfC	RfDi	Final Rff	Di or RfC⁴
			(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(µg/m ³) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(µg/m³) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day)	1 (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	1 (μg/m³) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day	/)(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day	(mg/kg-day)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m³)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day	γ) (μg/m³)
		"high-risk" PCBs																				
11141165	Aroclor-1232	(slope factors)	NA	2.00E+00	NA	2.00E+00	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	2.00E+00	1.00E-04	NA	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		"high-risk" PCBs																			1	'
12672296	Aroclor-1248	(slope factors)	NA	2.00E+00	NA	2.00E+00	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	2.00E+00	1.00E-04	NA	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
		"high-risk" PCBs																				'
11097691	Aroclor-1254	(slope factors)	NA	2.00E+00	NA	2.00E+00	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	2.00E+00	1.00E-04	NA	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	2.00E-05	NA	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	NA	NA	2.00E-05 r	2.00E-05	7.00E-02
		"high-risk" PCBs																			1	l '
	Aroclor-1260	(slope factors)	NA	2.00E+00	NA	2.00E+00	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	2.00E+00	1.00E-04	NA	2.00E+00	5.70E-04	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
7440382	Arsenic		9.45E+00	1.50E+00	NA	9.45E+00	9.45E+00	3.30E-03	1.20E+01	4.30E-03	NA	1.20E+01	3.30E-03	3.00E-04	NA	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-02	NA	NA	8.57E-06	
7440439	Cadmium		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.20E-03	1.50E+01	1.80E-03	NA	1.50E+01	4.20E-03	5.00E-04	NA	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	2.00E-02	NA	NA	5.71E-06	2.00E-02
7440508	Copper		NA	NC	NA	NC	NC	NA	NA	NC	NA	NC	NC	NA	3.70E-02 h-u	3.70E-02	3.70E-02	NA	NA	3.70E-02 r	3.70E-02	1.30E+02
		Mercuric chloride																				'
7439976	Mercury	(oral reference dose)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.00E-04	NA	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	9.00E-02	NA	NA	2.57E-05	
7440666	Zinc		NA	NC	NA	NC	NC	NA	NA	NC	NA	NC	NC	3.00E-01	NA	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	NA	NA	3.00E-01 r	3.00E-01	1.05E+03
100414	Ethylbenzene		1.10E-02	NC	NA	1.10E-02	1.10E-02	2.50E-06	8.75E-03	NC	NA	8.75E-03	2.50E-06	1.00E-01	NA	1.00E-01	1.00E-01	2.00E+03	1.00E+03	NA	5.71E-01	2.00E+03
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)		5.40E-01	NA	NA	5.40E-01	5.40E-01	5.90E-06	2.10E-02	NA	NA	2.10E-02	5.90E-06	1.00E-02	NA	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	3.50E+01	NA	NA	1.00E-02	3.50E+01
108883	Toluene		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	8.00E-02	NA	8.00E-02	8.00E-02	3.00E+02	5.00E+03	NA	8.57E-02	
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)		1.30E-02	NA	NA	1.30E-02	1.30E-02	2.00E-06	7.00E-03	NA	NA	7.00E-03	2.00E-06	NA	3.00E-04 n	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	6.00E+02	NA	1.00E-02 n	1.71E-01	6.00E+02
108383	m,p-Xylenes	Xylenes (total)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	7.00E+02	1.00E+02	NA	2.00E-01	7.00E+02
95476	o-Xylene	Xylenes (total)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	7.00E+02	1.00E+02	NA	2.00E-01	7.00E+02

- 1. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), 2008, Toxicity Criteria Database; or OEHHA, 2005b, Chronic Reference Exposure Levels
- 2. U.S. EPA, 2008, Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) database.
- 3. Other U.S. EPA toxicity criteria, as recommended or provided in OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposure-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, or U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), as noted:

 h-u Toxicity value from HEAST, as provided in U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals

 - n Toxicity value from NCEA, as provided in U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals
- r Toxicity value derived via route-extrapolation, as recommended in U.S. EPA, 2004b, Region 9 Preliminary Remediation Goals 4. The final criteria is selected, in order, from OEHHA, IRIS, and then other U.S. EPA toxicity criteria sources.
- 5. In the derivation of dermal toxicity factors, gastrointestinal absorption efficiency was assumed to be 100 percent for all chemicals.
- 6. The final oral reference dose is selected, in order, from IRIS and then other U.S. EPA toxicity criteria sources.

Abbreviations:

CAS No. = chemical abstract service number

CSFd = dermal cancer slope factor

CSFi = inhalation cancer slope factor

CSFo = oral cancer slope factor

HEAST = Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables (U.S. EPA 1997)

mg/kg-day = milligrams per kilogram per day

μg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter

NA = not available

NC = noncarcinogenic

NCEA = National Center for Environmental Assessment

OEHHA = Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

RfC = reference concentration RfDd = dermal reference dose

RfDi = inhalation reference dose

RfDo = oral reference dose

REL = reference exposure level

URF = unit risk factor

U.S. EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

TABLE B-2 PHYSICOCHEMICAL CONSTANTS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

CAS No.	Chemical	Log Octanol- Water Coefficient (log Kow) (unitless)	Ref	Henry's Law Constant (H) (atm-m³/mole)	Ref	Diffusivity in Air (D _i) (cm²/sec)	Ref	Diffusivity in Water (Dw) (cm²/sec)	Ref	Organic Carbon Partition Coefficient (Koc) (L/kg)	Ref	Molecular Weight (MW) (g/mole)	Ref	Dermal Soil Absorption (ABSds)	Ref
11141165	Aroclor-1232	3.20	1	8.6E-04	1	NA		7.2E-06	1	6.8E+02	1	221	1	0.14	8
12672296	Aroclor-1248	6.06	1	2.9E-03	1	NA		6.6E-06	1	4.4E+05	1	288	1	0.14	8
11097691	Aroclor-1254	6.04	1	2.0E-03	7	1.6E-02	5	5.0E-06	5	2.0E+05	5	327	1	0.14	8
11096825	Aroclor-1260	6.51	1	1.9E-04	7	3.7E-02	5	5.3E-06	5	2.9E+05	5	370	1	0.14	8
7440382	Arsenic	NA		NA	-	NA	-	NA		NA		75	4	0.04	2
7440439	Cadmium	NA		NA		NA	-	NA		NA		112	4	0.001	8
7440508	Copper	NA	-	NA		NA	-	NA		NA		64	4	0.01	3
7439976	Mercury	NA		1.1E-02	6	3.1E-02	6	6.3E-06	6	5.2E+01	6	200.59	6	0.1	3
7440666	Zinc	NA		NA	-	NA	-	NA		NA		65	4	0.01	3
100414	Ethylbenzene	3.14	3	7.9E-03	6	7.5E-02	6	7.8E-06	6	3.6E+02	6	106.17	6	0.1	3
127184	Tetrachloroethylene	2.67	3	1.8E-02	6	7.2E-02	6	8.2E-06	6	1.6E+02	6	165.83	6	0.1	3
108883	Toluene	2.75	3	6.6E-03	6	8.7E-02	6	8.6E-06	6	1.8E+02	6	92.14	6	0.1	3
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	2.71	3	1.0E-02	6	7.9E-02	6	9.1E-06	6	1.7E+02	6	131.39	6	0.1	3
108383	m,p-Xylenes	3.20	1	7.6E-03	6	7.7E-02	6	8.4E-06	6	3.9E+02	6	106.17	6	0.1	3
95476	o-Xylene	3.13	1	5.2E-03	6	8.7E-02	6	1.0E-05	6	3.6E+02	6	106.17	6	0.1	3

Notes:

- 1. Montgomery, J.H., 2000, Groundwater Chemicals Desk Reference
- 2. OEHHA, 2005a, Human Exposure-Based Screening Levels Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil
- 3. DTSC, 1999a, Preliminary Endangerment Assessment Guidance Manual
- 4. Budavari et al., 1996, Merck Index
- 5. U.S. EPA, 2000, User's Guide for the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) Model for Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Into Buildings (Revised), December
- 6. U.S. EPA, 2003, User's Guide for the Johnson and Ettinger (1991) Model for Subsurface Vapor Intrusion Into Buildings (Revised), December
- 7. Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2007, Chemical and Physical Property Database
- 8. U.S. EPA, 2004a, Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1: Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment), Final.

Abbreviations:

atm-m³/mole = atmospheres - cubic meter per mole cm²/sec = square centimeters per second g/mole = grams per mole L/kg = liters per kilogram NA = not available Ref = reference -- = not applicable

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED IN DEVELOPING RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKERS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Parameter	Units	Reaso	nable Maximum Exposure
GENERAL EXPOSURE PARAMET	ERS		
Exposure Frequency (EF)	days/year	Value:	250
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991
Exposure Duration (ED)	years	Value:	25
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991
Body Weight (BW)	kg	Value:	70
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991
Averaging Time (AT)	days	Value:	25,550 (carcinogens) 9,125 (noncarcinogens)
		Rationale:	OEHHA,2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991
PATHWAY-SPECIFIC PARAMETE	RS		
Incidental Soil Ingestion			
Soil Ingestion Rate (IR _s)	mg/day	Value:	100
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a
Dermal Contact with Soil			
Exposed Skin Surface Area (SA _s)	cm ² /day	Value:	3,300
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a
Soil-to-Skin Adherence Factor (SAF)	mg/cm ²	Value:	0.2
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a
Absorption Fraction (ABSds)	unitless	Value:	Chemical-specific
		Rationale:	see Table B-2

B3_Exp Param_OutWork_022808 Page 1 of 2

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED IN DEVELOPING RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKERS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Parameter	Units	Reasonable Maximum Exposure
Inhalation of Suspended Soil Part	ticulates	
Inhalation Rate (IHR _a)	m³/hr	Value: 2.5
		Rationale: OEHHA, 2005a
Particulate Emission Factor (PEF)	m³/kg	Value: 1.32x10 ⁹
		Rationale: OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1996
Exposure Time (ET)	hours	Value: 8
		Rationale: OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991
Inhalation of Vapors in Outdoor Air		
Inhalation Rate (IHR _a)	m³/hr	Value: 2.5
		Rationale: OEHHA, 2005a
Exposure Time (ET)	hours	Value: 8
		Rationale: OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991

Abbreviations:

 $\overline{\text{cm}^2/\text{day}} = \text{centimeters}$ squared per day

kg = kilograms

m³/hr = cubic meters per hour

m³/kg = cubic meters per kilogram

mg/cm² = milligrams per squared centimeters

mg/day = milligrams per day

B3_Exp Param_OutWork_022808 Page 2 of 2

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED IN DEVELOPING RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION WORKERS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Parameter	Units	Reasonable Maximum Exposure
GENERAL EXPOSURE PARAMET	ERS	
Exposure Frequency (EF)	days/year	Value: 250
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Exposure Duration (ED)	years	Value: 1
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Body Weight (BW)	kg	Value: 70
		Rationale: DTSC, 1996; U.S. EPA, 1991, U.S. EPA, 2002
Averaging Time (AT)	days	Value: 25,550 (carcinogens) 365 (noncarcinogens)
		Rationale: DTSC, 1996; U.S. EPA, 1991, U.S. EPA, 2002
Pathway-Specific Parameters		
Incidental Soil Ingestion		
Soil Ingestion Rate (IR _s)	mg/day	Value: 330
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Dermal Contact with Soil		
Exposed Skin Surface Area (SA _s)	cm²/day	Value: 3,300
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Soil-to-Skin Adherence Factor (SAF)	mg/cm ²	Value: 0.3
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Absorption Fraction (ABSds)	unitless	Value: Chemical-specific
		Rationale: see Table B-2

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED IN DEVELOPING RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION WORKERS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Parameter	Units	Reasonable Maximum Exposure
Inhalation of Vapors in Ambient Air		
Inhalation Rate (IHR _a)	m³/hr	Value: 2.5
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Exposure Time (ET)	hours	Value: 8
		Rationale: DTSC, 1996; U.S. EPA, 1991; Standard work day
Inhalation of Suspended Soil Part	ticulates	
Particulate Emission Factor (PEF)	m³/kg	Value: 2.0x10 ⁷
		Rationale: DTSC, 1999a; corresponds to the PM10 Ambient Air Quality Standard of 50 μg/m³; also consistent with U.S. EPA, 2002b, recommended PEF for construction activities other than unpaved road traffic (3.6x10 ⁷ m³/kg)
Inhalation Rate (IHR _a)	m³/hr	Value: 2.5
		Rationale: U.S. EPA, 2002
Exposure Time (ET)	hours	Value: 8
		Rationale: DTSC, 1996; U.S. EPA, 1991; Standard work day

Abbreviations:

cm²/day = centimeters squared per day

kg = kilograms

m³/hr = cubic meters per hour

m³/kg = cubic meters per kilogram

mg/cm² = milligrams per squared centimeters

mg/day = milligrams per day

TABLE B-5 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL -OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKER

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

		Oral Cancer Slope Factor	Dermal Cancer Slope Factor	Inhalation Cancer Slope Factor	Oral Reference Dose	Dermal Reference Dose	Inhalation Reference Dose	Absorption Factor	Molecular	Henry's Law		Out	BSL ¹ door dustrial Worker
CAS No.	Chemical	(CSF _o)	(CSFd)	(CSF _{i)}	(RfDo)	(RfDd)	(RfDi)	ABS	Weight	Constant	VOC? 2	Cancer	Noncancer
		(mg/kg-day) ⁻	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	(mg/kg-day)	()	(g/mole)	(atm-m ³ /mole)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
Polychlorin	ated Biphenyls (PCBs)												
11141165	Aroclor-1232 ³	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	2.2E+02	8.6E-04	No	7.4E-01	
12672296	Aroclor-1248 ³	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	2.9E+02	2.9E-03	No	7.4E-01	
11097691	Aroclor-1254 3	2	2	2	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	0.14	3.3E+02	2.0E-03	No	7.4E-01	1.1E+01
11096825	Aroclor-1260 ³	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	3.7E+02	1.9E-04	No	7.4E-01	
Metals		-	-			•	-	-	•				
7440382	Arsenic	9.45	9.45	12	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	8.57E-06	0.04	7.5E+01	NA	No	2.4E-01	2.4E+02
7440439	Cadmium ⁴	NA	NA	15	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	5.71E-06	0.001	1.1E+02	NA	No	1.3E+03	5.0E+02
7440508	Copper ⁵	NC	NC	NC	3.70E-02	3.70E-02	3.70E-02	0.01	6.4E+01	NA	No	NC	3.5E+04
7439976	Mercury	NA	NA	NA	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	2.57E-05	0.1	2.0E+02	1.1E-02	No		1.8E+02
7440666	Zinc ⁶	NC	NC	NC	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	0.01	6.5E+01	NA	No	NC	2.9E+05
Volatile Org	anic Compounds (VOCs) ⁷												
100414	Ethylbenzene	0.011	0.011	0.00875	1.00E-01	1.00E-01	5.71E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	7.9E-03	Yes	1.6E+02	6.2E+04
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.54	0.54	0.021	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	0.1	1.7E+02	1.8E-02	Yes	3.2E+00	6.2E+03
108883	Toluene	NA	NA	NA	8.00E-02	8.00E-02	8.57E-02	0.1	9.2E+01	6.6E-03	Yes		4.9E+04
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.013	0.013	0.007	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	1.71E-01	0.1	1.3E+02	1.0E-02	Yes	1.3E+02	1.8E+02
108383	m/p-Xylenes	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	7.6E-03	Yes		1.2E+05
95476	o-Xylene	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	5.2E-03	Yes		1.2E+05

Notes:

- 1. Risk-based screening levels (RBSL) calculated using the methodology presented by OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposed-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, January.
- 2. Chemicals identified as a volatile organic compound (VOC) if the molecular weight is less than 200 g/mole and the Henry's Law Constant is greater than 1x10⁻⁵ atm-m³/mole. A particulate emission factor (PEF) of 1.316x10⁹ m³/kg is used in the derivation of RBSLs for all non-volatile chemicals.
- 3. Cancer RBSLs calculated for PCBs deviate from, and are less conserative than, the number presented in OEHHA (2005a), 0.3 mg/kg. The use of the U.S. EPA CSF of 2 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ instead of the OEHHA CSF of 5 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ results in the less conservative number (0.74 mg/kg). However, this correction is considered appropriate; the 5 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ CSF is considered outdated by OEHHA (2005c).
- 4. Cancer RBSL calculated for cadmium deviates from, and is less conservative than, the number presented in OEHHA (2005a), 7.5 mg/kg. The 7.5 mg/kg screening level developed by OEHHA was derived using an oral CSF of 0.38 (mg/kg-day)⁻¹ (OEHHA, 2005a). However, subsequent to the development of the 7.5 mg/kg screening level, OEHHA has removed the oral CSF from the Toxicity Criteria Database (OEHHA, 2008), having determined that "there is insufficient information on the carcinogenicity of cadmium via the oral route" (OEHHA, 2007).

RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL -OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKER

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

- 5. Noncancer RBSL calculated for copper deviates from, and is more conservative than, the number presented in OEHHA (2005a), 38,000 mg/kg. The more conservative noncancer RBSL, 35,000 mg/kg, results from using the reference dose provided in HEAST, 0.037 mg/kg-day (U.S. EPA, 1997). A slightly less conservative number is presented in OEHHA (2005a) from rounding the HEAST reference dose to one significant figure, 0.04 mg/kg-day, and using this value in the screening level calculation.
- 6. Noncancer RBSL calculated for zinc deviates from, and is less conservative than, the number presented in OEHHA (2005a). The calculated RBSL is considered appropriate for the purposes of estimating noncancer hazards; the lower number presented in OEHHA (2005a), 100,000 mg/kg, is based on the maximum concentration allowed, not toxicity.
- 7. Inhalation pathways not incorporated into the development of soil RBSLs for VOCs. Volatilization of chemicals from the subsurface to ambient air evaluated using RBSLs developed for soil vapor (Table B-10).

Abbreviations:

atm-m³/mole = atmospheres - cubic meter per mole
CAS No. = chemical abstract service number
g/mole = grams per mole
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
mg/kg-day = milligrams per kilogram - day
NA = not available
NC = noncarcinogenic
--- = not applicable

$$\begin{split} & \underline{Factorial} \\ & RBSL_{toil-risk} = \frac{TR \times BW \times AT_{ca}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{IR_{S} \times CSF_{o}}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS \times CSF_{o}}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{IHR_{a} \times ET \times CSF_{i}}{PEF} \right) \right]} \\ & RBSL_{toil-haz} = \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT_{nc}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{1}{RfD_{o}} \times \frac{IRS}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{o}} \times \frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{i}} \times \frac{IHR_{a} \times ET}{PEF} \right) \right]} \end{split}$$

TABLE B-6 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL -CONSTRUCTION WORKER

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

		Oral Cancer Slope	Dermal Cancer	Inhalation Cancer Slope				_					BSL ¹ ion Worker
CAS No.	Chemical	(CSF _o)	Slope Factor (CSFd)	Factor (CSF _{i)}	Dose (RfDo)	Dose (RfDd)	Dose (RfDi)	Factor ABS	Molecular Weight	Henry's Law Constant	VOC? 2	Cancer	Noncancer
		(mg/kg-d) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-d) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-d) ⁻¹	(mg/kg-d)	(mg/kg-d)	(mg/kg-d)	()	(g/mole)	(atm-m ³ /mole)		(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)
Polychlorin	ated Biphenyls (PCBs)												
	Aroclor-1232	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	2.2E+02	8.6E-04	No	7.6E+00	
12672296	Aroclor-1248	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	2.9E+02	2.9E-03	No	7.6E+00	
11097691	Aroclor-1254	2	2	2	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	2.00E-05	0.14	3.3E+02	2.0E-03	No	7.6E+00	4.4E+00
11096825	Aroclor-1260	2	2	2	NA	NA	NA	0.14	3.7E+02	1.9E-04	No	7.6E+00	
Metals													
7440382	Arsenic	9.45	9.45	12	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	8.57E-06	0.04	7.5E+01	NA	No	2.0E+00	7.6E+01
7440439	Cadmium	NA	NA	15	5.00E-04	5.00E-04	5.71E-06	0.001	1.1E+02	NA	No	4.8E+02	1.2E+02
7440508	Copper	NC	NC	NC	3.70E-02	3.70E-02	3.70E-02	0.01	6.4E+01	NA	No	NC	1.1E+04
7439976	Mercury	NA	NA	NA	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	2.57E-05	0.1	2.0E+02	1.1E-02	No		7.0E+01
7440666	Zinc	NC	NC	NC	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	3.00E-01	0.01	6.5E+01	NA	No	NC	9.0E+04
Volatile Org	ganic Compounds (VOCs) ³												
100414	Ethylbenzene	0.011	0.011	0.00875	1.00E-01	1.00E-01	5.71E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	7.9E-03	Yes	1.5E+03	2.4E+04
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	0.54	0.54	0.021	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	1.00E-02	0.1	1.7E+02	1.8E-02	Yes	3.1E+01	2.4E+03
108883	Toluene	NA	NA	NA	8.00E-02	8.00E-02	8.57E-02	0.1	9.2E+01	6.6E-03	Yes		1.9E+04
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.013	0.013	0.007	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	1.71E-01	0.1	1.3E+02	1.0E-02	Yes	1.3E+03	7.1E+01
108383	m/p-Xylenes	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	7.6E-03	Yes		4.8E+04
95476	o-Xylene	NA	NA	NA	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	2.00E-01	0.1	1.1E+02	5.2E-03	Yes		4.8E+04

Notes:

- 1. Risk-based screening levels (RBSL) calculated using the methodology presented by OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposed-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, January.
- 2. Chemicals identified as a volatile organic compound (VOC) if the molecular weight is less than 200 g/mole and the Henry's Law Constant is greater than 1x10⁻⁵ atm-m³/mole. A particulate emission factor (PEF) of 2.0x10⁷ m³/kg is used in the derivation of RBSLs for all non-volatile chemicals.
- 3. Inhalation pathways not incorporated into the development of soil RBSLs for VOCs. Volatilization of chemicals from the subsurface to ambient air evaluated using RBSLs developed for soil vapor (Table B-11).

TABLE B-6 RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL -CONSTRUCTION WORKER

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Abbreviations:

atm-m³/mole = atmospheres - cubic meter per mole
CAS No. = chemical abstract service number
g/mole = grams per mole
µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
mg/kg-day = milligrams per kilogram - day
NA = not available
NC = noncarcinogenic
-- = not applicable

$$\begin{split} RBSL_{soil-risk} &= \frac{TR \times BW \times AT_{ca}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{IR_{S} \times CSF_{o}}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS \times CSF_{o}}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{IHR_{a} \times ET \times CSF_{i}}{PEF} \right) \right] } \\ RBSL_{soil-haz} &= \frac{THQ \times BW \times AT_{nc}}{ED \times EF \times \left[\left(\frac{1}{RfD_{o}} \times \frac{IRS}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{o}} \times \frac{SAs \times SAF \times ABS}{CF_{kg-mg}} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{RfD_{i}} \times \frac{IHR_{a} \times ET}{PEF} \right) \right] } \end{split}$$

HEALTH-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR LEAD IN SOIL

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Scenario	Screening Level ¹ (mg/kg)
Construction Worker	980
Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker	3300

Notes:

1. Corresponds to blood lead levels less than the 10 micrograms per deciliter $(\mu g/dL)$ level of concern.

Abbreviations:

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram

EXPOSURE PARAMETERS USED IN DEVELOPING RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR INDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKERS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Exposure Parameter	Units	Reasonable Maximum Exposure (RME)				
GENERAL EXPOSURE PARAMETERS						
Exposure Frequency (EF)	days/year	Value:	250			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991			
Exposure Duration (ED)	years	Value:	25			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991			
Body Weight (BW)	kg	Value:	70			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991			
Averaging Time (AT)	days	Value:	25,550 (carcinogens) 9,125 (noncarcinogens)			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991			
PATHWAY-SPECIFIC PARAMETERS						
Inhalation of Vapors in Indoor Air						
Inhalation Rate (IHR _a)	m³/hr	Value:	2.5			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a			
Exposure Time (ET)	hours	Value:	8			
		Rationale:	OEHHA, 2005a; U.S. EPA, 1991			

Abbreviations:

kg = kilograms m³/hr = cubic meters per hour

RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL VAPOR -- INDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKER

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

		Inhalation Tox	cicity Criteria	So	il Vapor RBS	SL Indoor C	r Commercial/Industrial Worker				
					Cancer		Noncancer				
CAS No.	Chemical	URF (µg/m³) ⁻¹	RfC (μg/m³)	Indoor Air (µg/m³)	alpha ² (unitless)	Soil Vapor (µg/L)	Indoor Air (µg/m³)	alpha ² (unitless)	Soil Vapor (µg/L)		
67663	Chloroform	5.3E-06	3.0E+02	7.71E-01	5.47E-04	1.41E+00	4.4E+02	5.5E-04	8.0E+02		
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene	NA	7.0E+01		5.03E-04		1.0E+02	5.0E-04	2.0E+02		
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	5.9E-06	3.5E+01	6.93E-01	4.39E-04	1.58E+00	5.1E+01	4.4E-04	1.2E+02		
108883	Toluene	NA	3.0E+02		4.93E-04		4.4E+02	4.9E-04	8.9E+02		
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NC	2.2E+03	NC	4.61E-04	NC	3.2E+03	4.6E-04	7.0E+03		
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	2.0E-06	6.0E+02	2.04E+00	4.65E-04	4.39E+00	8.8E+02	4.7E-04	1.9E+03		
108383	m,p-Xylenes	NA	7.0E+02		4.31E-04		1.0E+03	4.6E-04	2.2E+03		

Notes

- 1. Risk-based screening levels (RBSL) calculated using the methodology outlined by OEHHA, 2005a, Human-Exposure-Based Screening Numbers Developed to Aid Estimation of Cleanup Costs for Contaminated Soil, January.
- 2. Chemical-specific alphas calculated using the Johnson and Ettinger Model and default parameters for existing commercial/industrial buildings as outlined by OEHHA (2005a). Johnson and Ettinger Model outputs are presented in Attachment C-1.

Abbreviations:

CAS No. = chemical abstract service number mg/L = micrograms per liter µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter NA = not available NC = noncarcinogenic RfC = reference concentration URF = unit risk factor --- = not applicable

Equations:
$$C_{ia-risk} = \frac{TR \times ATca}{URF \times EF \times ED}$$

$$C_{ia-haz} = \frac{THQ \times ATnc}{EF \times ED \times 1 / RfC}$$

$$RBSL_{soil\ vapor-ia} = \frac{C_{ia}}{\alpha \times CF_{m3-L}}$$

RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL VAPOR -- OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKER EXPOSURE TO AMBIENT AIR

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

		Inhalation Tox	icity Criteria					Organic	Soil-		Soil Vapor RBSL Outdoor Commercial/Industrial Worker							
							Dimensionless	Carbon	Organic			C	ancer			Noncancer		
				Diffusivity	Diffusivity	Henry's Law	Henry's Law	Partition	Partition	Effective	Ambient Air	Emission	Total Solute	Soil Vapor	Ambient Air	Emission	Total Solute	Soil Vapor
				in Air	in Water	Constant	Constant	Coefficient	Coefficient	Diffusivity	Screening	Rate	Concentration	Screening	Screening	Rate	Concentration	Screening
CAS No.	Chemical	URF	RfC	(Di)	(Dw)	(H)	(H')	(Koc)	(Kd)	(Da)	Level	(Ei)	(CT)	Level	Level	(Ei)	(CT)	Level
		(µg/m³) ⁻¹	(µg/m³)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(atm-m ³ /mole)	(unitless)	(L/kg)	(cm³/g)	(cm²/sec)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m²-sec)	(µg/cm³)	(µg/L)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m²-sec)	(µg/cm³)	(µg/L)
67663	Chloroform	5.3E-06	3.0E+02	1.04E-01	1.00E-05	3.66E-03	1.50E-01	3.98E+01	7.96E-02	1.07E-02	7.71E-01	4.55E-02	1.09E+00	7.0E+02	4.4E+02	2.6E+01	6.2E+02	4.0E+05
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene	NA	7.0E+01	9.00E-02	1.04E-05	2.60E-02	1.07E+00	5.89E+01	1.18E-01	2.61E-02					1.0E+02	6.0E+00	9.3E+01	1.7E+05
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	5.9E-06	3.5E+01	7.20E-02	8.20E-06	1.84E-02	7.53E-01	1.55E+02	3.10E-01	1.08E-02	6.93E-01	4.08E-02	9.79E-01	9.1E+02	5.1E+01	3.0E+00	7.2E+01	6.7E+04
108883	Toluene	NA	3.0E+02	8.70E-02	8.60E-06	6.62E-03	2.72E-01	1.82E+02	3.64E-01	5.10E-03					4.4E+02	2.6E+01	9.0E+02	3.3E+05
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NC	2.2E+03	7.80E-02	8.80E-06	1.72E-02	7.03E-01	1.10E+02	2.20E-01	1.37E-02	NC	NC	NC	NC	3.2E+03	1.9E+02	4.0E+03	4.4E+06
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	2.0E-06	6.0E+02	7.90E-02	9.10E-06	1.03E-02	4.21E-01	1.66E+02	3.32E-01	7.24E-03	2.04E+00	1.20E-01	3.52E+00	2.0E+03	8.8E+02	5.2E+01	1.5E+03	8.6E+05
108383	m,p-Xylenes	NA	7.0E+02	7.00E-02	7.80E-06	7.32E-03	3.00E-01	4.07E+02	8.14E-01	2.25E-03					1.0E+03	6.0E+01	3.2E+03	6.3E+05

Notes

1. Risk-based screening levels (RBSL) calculated using the X/Q dispersion model and the VOC Emission Model presented in U.S.EPA, 1996, Soil Screening Guidance: Users Guide and Technical Background Document.

Abbreviations:

atm-m³/mole = atmospheres - cubic meter per mole

CAS No. = chemical abstract service number

cm²/sec = square centimeters per second

 $cm^3/g = cubic centimeters per gram$

L/kg = liters per kilogram

μg/cm³ = micrograms per cubic centimeter

mg/L = micrograms per liter

 μ g/m²-sec = micrograms per square meter per second

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter$

NA = not available

NC = noncarcinogenic

-- = not applicable

Equations:

$$C_{oa-risk} = \frac{TR \times ATca}{URF \times EF \times ED}$$

$$C_{oa-haz} = \frac{THQ \times ATnc}{EF \times ED \times 1 / RfC}$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{C_{oa}}{X/Q}$$

$$CT = \frac{Ei \times \sqrt{\pi \times Da \times T}}{2 \times Da \times CF_{m^2 - cm^2}}$$

$$RBSL_{soilvapor-oa} = \frac{CT}{[(pb \times Kd/H) + Pw/H' + Pa] \times CF_{cm3-L}}$$

RISK-BASED SCREENING LEVELS FOR CHEMICALS OF POTENTIAL CONCERN IN SOIL VAPOR --CONSTRUCTION WORKER EXPOSURE TO AMBIENT AIR

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

		Inhalation Tox	cicity Criteria					Organic	Soil-			Soil Vapor RBSL ¹ Construction Worker						
							Dimensionless	Carbon	Organic			С	ancer			Nor	ncancer	
				Diffusivity	Diffusivity	Henry's Law	Henry's Law	Partition	Partition	Effective	Ambient Air	Emission	Total Solute	Soil Vapor	Ambient Air	Emission	Total Solute	Soil Vapor
				in Air	in Water	Constant	Constant	Coefficient		-	Screening		Concentration	Screening	Screening	Rate	Concentration	Screening
CAS No.	Chemical	URF	RfC	(Di)	(Dw)	(H)	(H')	(Koc)	(Kd)	(Da)	Level	(Ei)	(CT)	Level	Level	(Ei)	(CT)	Level
		(µg/m³) ⁻¹	(µg/m³)	(cm²/sec)	(cm²/sec)	(atm-m³/mole)	(unitless)	(L/kg)	(cm³/g)	(cm²/sec)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m²-sec)	(µg/cm³)	(µg/L)	(µg/m³)	(µg/m²-sec)	(µg/cm³)	(µg/L)
67663	Chloroform	5.3E-06	3.0E+02	1.04E-01	1.00E-05	3.66E-03	1.50E-01	3.98E+01	7.96E-02	1.07E-02	1.93E+01	1.14E+00	5.46E+00	3.5E+03	4.4E+02	2.6E+01	1.2E+02	7.9E+04
75354	1,1-Dichloroethylene	NA	7.0E+01	9.00E-02	1.04E-05	2.60E-02	1.07E+00	5.89E+01	1.18E-01	2.61E-02					1.0E+02	6.0E+00	1.9E+01	3.3E+04
127184	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)	5.9E-06	3.5E+01	7.20E-02	8.20E-06	1.84E-02	7.53E-01	1.55E+02	3.10E-01	1.08E-02	1.73E+01	1.02E+00	4.90E+00	4.5E+03	5.1E+01	3.0E+00	1.4E+01	1.3E+04
108883	Toluene	NA	3.0E+02	8.70E-02	8.60E-06	6.62E-03	2.72E-01	1.82E+02	3.64E-01	5.10E-03					4.4E+02	2.6E+01	1.8E+02	6.6E+04
71556	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NC	2.2E+03	7.80E-02	8.80E-06	1.72E-02	7.03E-01	1.10E+02	2.20E-01	1.37E-02	NC	NC	NC	NC	3.2E+03	1.9E+02	8.1E+02	8.8E+05
79016	Trichloroethylene (TCE)	2.0E-06	6.0E+02	7.90E-02	9.10E-06	1.03E-02	4.21E-01	1.66E+02	3.32E-01	7.24E-03	5.11E+01	3.01E+00	1.76E+01	1.0E+04	8.8E+02	5.2E+01	3.0E+02	1.7E+05
108383	m,p-Xylenes	NA	7.0E+02	7.00E-02	7.80E-06	7.32E-03	3.00E-01	4.07E+02	8.14E-01	2.25E-03					1.0E+03	6.0E+01	6.3E+02	1.3E+05

Notes:

1. Risk-based screening levels (RBSL) calculated using the X/Q dispersion model and the VOC Emission Model presented in U.S.EPA, 1996, Soil Screening Guidance: Users Guide and Technical Background Document.

Abbreviations:

atm-m³/mole = atmospheres - cubic meter per mole

CAS No. = chemical abstract service number

cm²/sec = square centimeters per second

cm³/g = cubic centimeters per gram

L/kg = liters per kilogram

 μ g/cm³ = micrograms per cubic centimeter

mg/L = micrograms per liter

 μ g/m²-sec = micrograms per square meter per second

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter$

NA = not available

NC = noncarcinogenic

-- = not applicable

Equations:
$$C_{oa-risk} = \frac{TR \times ATca}{URF \times EF \times ED}$$

$$C_{oa-haz} = \frac{THQ \times ATnc}{EF \times ED \times 1 / RfC}$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{C_{oa}}{X/Q}$$

$$CT = \frac{Ei \times \sqrt{\pi \times Da \times T}}{2 \times Da \times CF_{m \ 2-cm \ 2}}$$

$$RBSL_{Soilvapor-oa} = \frac{CT}{[(pb \times Kd/H) + Pw/H' + Pa] \times CF_{cm3-L}}$$



ATTACHMENTS

ATTACHMENT A-1 ADDITIONAL EQUATIONS USED IN SOIL VAPOR SCREENING LEVEL CALCULATIONS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Estimation of Chemical Constants: (U.S. EPA, 1996)

$$H' = H/RT \tag{1}$$

H' = Dimensionless Henry's Law Constant

H = Henry's Law Constant (atm-m³/mole)

R = Universal gas constant (atm-m³/mole-K)

T = Temperature (K)

$$Kd = Koc x foc$$
 (2)

Kd = Soil-organic partition coefficient (cm³/g)

Koc = Organic carbon partition coefficient (L/kg)

foc = Fraction organic-carbon (unitless)

Supporting Equations: (U.S. EPA, 1996)

$$X/Q = \frac{CF_{kg-mg}}{Q/C \times CF_{g-mg}}$$
 (3)

X/Q = Air dispersion factor (mg/m³ per mg/m²-sec)

Q/C = Inverse of dispersion factor (g/m²-sec per kg/m³)

 CF_{g-mg} = Conversion Factor from g to mg (mg/g)

 CF_{kg-mg} = Conversion Factor from kg to mg (mg/kg)

$$Q/C = A \times \exp[(\ln Ac - B)^2 \div C]$$
 (4)

Q/C = Inverse of dispersion factor (g/m²-sec per kg/m³)

Ac = Area of site (acres)

A = A Constant (Location - Los Angeles, CA)

B = B Constant (Location - Los Angeles, CA)

C = C Constant (Location - Los Angeles, CA)

ATTACHMENT A-1 ADDITIONAL EQUATIONS USED IN SOIL VAPOR SCREENING LEVEL CALCULATIONS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Supporting Equations (continued): (U.S. EPA, 1996)

Da =
$$[(Pa^{10/3} \times Di \times H' + Pw^{10/3} \times Dw) / Pt^{2}]$$

pb x Kd + Pw + Pa x H' (5)

Da = Effective Diffusivity (cm²/sec)

Pa = Air-filled soil porosity (unitless)

Di = Diffusivity in air (cm²/sec)

H' = Dimensionless Henry's Law Constant

Pw = Water-filled soil porosity (unitless)

Dw = Diffusivity in water (cm²/sec)

Pt = Total porosity (unitless)

pb = Soil bulk density (g/cm³)

Kd = Soil-Organic partition coefficient (cm³/g)

Abbreviations:

atm = atmospheres

cm² = square centimeters

cm³ = cubic centimeters

g = grams

K = kelvin

kg = kilograms

L = liters

 m^2 = square meters

m³ = cubic meters

mg = milligrams

sec = seconds

ATTACHMENT A-2 RISK ASSESSMENT ASSUMPTIONS

Former Pechiney Cast Plate, Inc., Facility Vernon, California

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units	Source
Exposure Assumptions				
Target Risk	TR	1.0E-06	unitless	OEHHA, 2005a
Target Hazard Quotient	THQ	1.0E+00	unitless	OEHHA, 2005a
Duration - Commercial/Industrial	T_{ind}	7.9E+08	sec	Calculated
Duration - Construction	T _{cw}	3.2E+07	sec	Calculated
Site Assumptions				
Area of Source	Area	4576	m^2	Site-specific
Area of Source	Area_acres	1.13	acre	Site-specific
A Constant	Α	11.91	unitless	Los Angeles
B Constant	В	18.44	unitless	Los Angeles
C Constant	С	209.78	unitless	Los Angeles
Air Dispersion Factor	X/Q	16.96	mg/m³ per mg/m²-sec	Calculated
Inverse of Dispersion Factor	Q/C	58.95	g/m ² -sec per kg/m ³	Calculated
Particulate Emission Factor				
Construction Worker	PEFcw	2.00E+07	m³/kg	DTSC, 1999a ¹
Commercial/Industrial Worker	PEFow	1.32E+09	m³/kg	OEHHA, 2005a
Temperature	Т	295	Kelvin	Default
Soil Constants				
Fraction Organic Carbon	foc	0.002	unitless	Default
Air Filled Soil Porosity	Pa	0.321	unitless	Default for sandy soil type
Water Filled Soil Porosity	Pw	0.054	unitless	Default for sandy soil type
Total Porosity	Pt	0.375	unitless	Default for sandy soil type
Soil Bulk Density	rb	1.66	g/cm ³	Default for sandy soil type
Conversion Factors				
Conversion Factor from cm ³ to L	CF _{cm3-L}	1.0E-03	L/cm ³	Constant
Conversion Factor from m ³ to L	CF _{m3-L}	1.0E+03	L/m ³	Constant
Conversion Factor from g to mg	CF _{g-mg}	1.0E+03	mg/g	Constant
Conversion Factor from kg to mg	CF _{kg-mg}	1.0E+06	mg/kg	Constant
Conversion Factor from m ² to cm ²	CF _{m2-cm2}	1.0E+04	cm ² /m ²	Constant

Notes:

1. Corresponds to a PM10 Ambient Air Quality Standard of 50 μg/m³.

Abbreviations:

cm² = square centimeters

cm³ = cubic centimeters

g = grams

kg = kilograms

L = liters

m² = square meters

m³ = cubic meters

mg = milligrams

sec = seconds

ATTACHMENT B-1

LEAD RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEET

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL OUTDOOR COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL WORKER

USER'S GUIDE to version 7

INPUT	
MEDIUM	LEVEL
Lead in Air (ug/m ³)	0.028
Lead in Soil/Dust (ug/g)	3341
Lead in Water (ug/l)	15
% Home-grown Produce	7%
Respirable Dust (ug/m³)	1.5

	OUTP	UT					
	Percer	ntile Estir	mate of E	Blood Pb ((ug/dl)	PRG-99	PRG-95
_	50th	90th	95th	98th	99th	(ug/g)	(ug/g)
BLOOD Pb, ADULT	12.4	22.7	26.8	32.6	37.1	662	1041
BLOOD Pb, CHILD	43.7	79.9	94.5	114.9	130.7	146	247
BLOOD Pb, PICA CHI	LD 67.3	122.9	145.4	176.7	201.1	94	159
BLOOD Pb, OCCUPA	TIONAI 3.3	6.1	7.2	8.8	10.0	3341	5253

EXPOSURE P	ARAMETE	RS	
	units	adults	childre
Days per week	days/wk	7	7
Days per week, occupation	onal	5	
Geometric Standard Devi	iation	1.	6
Blood lead level of conce	rn (ug/dl)	1	0
Skin area, residential	cm ²	5700	2900
Skin area occupational	cm ²	2900	
Soil adherence ^a	ug/cm ²	200	200
Dermal uptake constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.0001	
Soil ingestion	mg/day	50 100	
Soil ingestion, pica	mg/day		200
Ingestion constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.04	0.16
Bioavailability	unitless	0.4	44
Breathing rate	m ³ /day	20	6.8
Inhalation constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.08	0.19
Water ingestion	l/day	1.4	0.4
Food ingestion	kg/day	1.9	1.1
Lead in market basket	ug/kg	3.1	
Lead in home-grown produce	ug/kg	150	3.5

PATHWAYS									
ADULTS	R	Residential			Occupational				
	Pathway contribution			Pathway contribution					
Pathway	PEF ug/dl percer			PEF	ug/dl	percent			
Soil Contact	1.1E-4	0.37	3%	4.0E-5	0.13	4%			
Soil Ingestion	8.8E-4	2.94	24%	6.3E-4	2.10	63%			
Inhalation, bkgrnd		0.05	0%		0.03	1%			
Inhalation	2.5E-6	0.01	0%	1.8E-6	0.01	0%			
Water Ingestion		0.84	7%		0.84	25%			
Food Ingestion, bkgrn	ood Ingestion, bkgrnd		2%		0.23	7%			
Food Ingestion	2.4E-3	8.00	64%			0%			

CHILDREN		typical			with pica	1	
	Pathw	ay conti	ribution	Pathway contribution			
Pathway	PEF	ug/dl	percent	PEF	ug/dl	percent	
Soil Contact	5.6E-5	0.19	0%		0.19	0%	
Soil Ingestion	7.0E-3	23.52	54%	1.4E-2	47.04	70%	
Inhalation	2.0E-6	0.01	0%		0.01	0%	
Inhalation, bkgrnd		0.04	0%		0.04	0%	
Water Ingestion		0.96	2%		0.96	1%	
Food Ingestion, bkgrnd		0.50	1%		0.50	1%	
Food Ingestion	5.5E-3	18.52	42%		18.52	28%	

Notes:

a Default Lead Spread value replaced by soil adherence parameter used in the derivation of other risk-based screening levels (see Table B-3).

ATTACHMENT B-2

LEAD RISK ASSESSMENT SPREADSHEET

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL CONSTRUCTION WORKER

USER'S GUIDE to version 7

INPUT	
MEDIUM	LEVEL
Lead in Air (ug/m ³)	0.028
Lead in Soil/Dust (ug/g)	982
Lead in Water (ug/l)	15
% Home-grown Produce	7%
Respirable Dust (ug/m³)	1.5

	OUTP	JT					
	Percer	tile Esti	mate of E	Blood Pb ((ug/dl)	PRG-99	PRG-95
	50th	90th	95th	98th	99th	(ug/g)	(ug/g)
BLOOD Pb, ADULT	6.6	12.0	14.2	17.3	19.7	402	632
BLOOD Pb, CHILD	13.9	25.4	30.1	36.6	41.6	146	247
BLOOD Pb, PICA CHII	LD 20.8	38.0	45.0	54.7	62.3	94	159
BLOOD Pb, OCCUPA	TIONAI 3.3	6.1	7.2	8.8	10.0	982	1545

EXPOSURE P	EXPOSURE PARAMETERS									
	units	adults	childre							
Days per week	days/wk	7	,							
Days per week, occupation	onal	5								
Geometric Standard Dev	iation	1.	6							
Blood lead level of conce	rn (ug/dl)	10	0							
Skin area, residential	cm ²	5700	2900							
Skin area occupational ^a	cm ²	5800								
Soil adherence ^a	ug/cm ²	510	200							
Dermal uptake constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.0001								
Soil ingestion ^b	mg/day	165	100							
Soil ingestion, pica	mg/day		200							
Ingestion constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.04	0.16							
Bioavailability	unitless	0.4	14							
Breathing rate	m ³ /day	20	6.8							
Inhalation constant	(ug/dl)/(ug/da	0.08	0.19							
Water ingestion	I/day	1.4	0.4							
Food ingestion	kg/day	1.9	1.1							
Lead in market basket	ug/kg	3.1								
Lead in home-grown produce	ug/kg	441	1.9							

PATHWAYS												
ADULTS	R	esident	ial	Occupational								
	Pathw	ay cont	ribution	Pathway contribution								
Pathway	PEF	ug/dl	percent	PEF	ug/dl	percent						
Soil Contact	2.8E-4	0.27	4%	2.0E-4	0.20	6%						
Soil Ingestion	2.9E-3	2.85	43%	2.1E-3	2.04	61%						
Inhalation, bkgrnd		0.05	1%		0.03	1%						
Inhalation	2.5E-6	0.00	0%	1.8E-6	0.00	0%						
Water Ingestion		0.84	13%		0.84	25%						
Food Ingestion, bkgrr	0.22	3%		0.23	7%							
Food Ingestion	2.4E-3	2.35	36%			0%						

CHILDREN		typical		with pica					
	Pathw	ay conti	ribution	Pathway contribution					
Pathway	PEF	ug/dl	percent	PEF	ug/dl	percent			
Soil Contact	5.6E-5	0.05	0%		0.05	0%			
Soil Ingestion	7.0E-3	6.91	50%	1.4E-2	13.83	66%			
Inhalation	2.0E-6	0.00	0%		0.00	0%			
Inhalation, bkgrnd		0.04	0%		0.04	0%			
Water Ingestion		0.96	7%		0.96	5%			
Food Ingestion, bkgrn	0.50	4%		0.50	2%				
Food Ingestion	5.5E-3	5.44	39%		5.44	26%			

Notes:

- a Default Lead Spread value replaced by value used in the derivation of other risk-based screening levels (see Table B-4).
- b Default Lead Spread value replaced by 50 percent of the soil ingestion rate used in the derivation of other risk-based screening levels.

Attachment C-1: Soil Vapor Attenuation Factors for Vapor Intrusion, COPCs in Soil Vapor -- Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker, Data Entry Sheet

SL-ADV ion 3.0; 02/03	CALCULATE RISH	K-BASED SOIL CO	ONCENTRATION (en	ter "X" in "YES" box)			Geomatrix Version modified by MJC,								
		YES		1			includes Cal-EPA	CSFs							
	CALCULATE INCI	REMENTAL RISKS	OR S FROM ACTUAL SC	OIL CONCENTRATION	(enter "X" in "YES	6" box and initial soil	conc. below)		ENTER U.S. EPA or						
		YES	X	I					Cal-EPA	ı					
	ENTER	ENTER Initial	Enter initial call can	contration					Cal-EPA						
	Chemical	soil	Enter initial soil con	centration.											
	CAS No.	conc.,													
	(numbers only,	C _R			O										
	no dashes)	(mg/kg)	=		Chemical		=								
	67663				Chloroform										
	75354				-Dichloroethyle										
	127184			Te	trachloroethyle	ne									
	108883				Toluene										
	71556				1-Trichloroeth										
	79016			Т	richloroethylen	e									
	106423				p-Xylene		J								
	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER		ENTER					
MORE		Depth		Depth below	l otals mu	ist add up to value of		Soil		Lloor defined					
ê	Average	below grade to bottom	Depth below	grade to bottom of contamination,	Thickness	Thickness of soil	Thickness of soil	stratum A SCS		User-defined stratum A					
	soil	of enclosed	grade to top	(enter value of 0	of soil	stratum B,	stratum C,	soil type		soil vapor					
	temperature,	space floor,	of contamination,	if value is unknown)	stratum A,		(Enter value or 0)	1 2	OR	permeability,					
	T _s	L _F	L _t	L _b	h _A	h _B	h _C	soil vapor		k _v					
	(°C)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	permeability)	=	(cm ²)					
	22	9	49	0	9	10	30	S	1						
	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTE
MORE ê	Stratum A SCS	Stratum A soil dry	Stratum A soil total	Stratum A soil water-filled	Stratum A soil organic	Stratum B SCS	Stratum B soil dry	Stratum B soil total	Stratum B soil water-filled	Stratum B soil organic	Stratum C SCS	Stratum C soil dry	Stratum C soil total	Stratum C soil water-filled	Stratui soil org
	soil type	bulk density,	porosity,	porosity,	carbon fraction,	soil type	bulk density,	porosity,	porosity,	carbon fraction,	soil type	bulk density,	porosity,	porosity,	carbon fr
		r _b ^A	n ^A	q_w^A	f_{oc}^{A}		r _b ^B	n ^B	q _w ^B	f _{oc} ^B		r _b ^C	n ^C	q _w ^C	foc
		(g/cm ³)	(unitless)	(cm ³ /cm ³)	(unitless)		(g/cm ³)	(unitless)	(cm ³ /cm ³)	(unitless)		(g/cm ³)	(unitless)	(cm ³ /cm ³)	(unitle
	S	1.66	0.375	0.054	0.002	S	1.66	0.375	0.054	0.002	SIC	1.80	0.30	0.15	0.00
	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER		ENTER						
MORE	Enclosed	0 3111	Enclosed	Enclosed	E	F			Average vapor						
ê	space floor	Soil-bldg. pressure	space floor	space floor	Enclosed space	Floor-wall seam crack	Indoor air exchange		flow rate into bldg OR	-					
	thickness,	differential,	length,	width,	height,	width,	rate,	Le	ave blank to calcu	late					
	L _{crack}	DP	L _B	W _B	H _B	w	ER		Q_{soil}						
	(cm)	(g/cm-s ²)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(cm)	(1/h)	-	(L/m)						
	9	40	1000	1000	244	0.1	1	1	5						
								_							
	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER	ENTER									
	Averaging	Averaging	_	_	Target	Target hazard									
	time for carcinogens,	time for	Exposure duration,	Exposure frequency,	risk for carcinogens,	quotient for noncarcinogens,									
	AT _C	noncarcinogens, AT _{NC}	ED	EF	TR	THQ									
	(yrs)	(yrs)	(yrs)	(days/yr)	(unitless)	(unitless)									
	70	25	25	250	1.0E-06	1]								
					Used to calc	ulate risk-based									

Attachment C-1: Soil Vapor Attenuation Factors for Vapor Intrusion, COPCs in Soil Vapor -- Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker, Chemical Properties Sheet

			Henry's	Henry's	Enthalpy of			Organic	Pure			
			law constant	law constant	vaporization at	Normal		carbon	component	Unit		Physical
	Diffusivity	Diffusivity	at reference	reference	the normal	boiling	Critical	partition	water	risk	Reference	state at
	in air,	in water,	temperature,	temperature,	boiling point,	point,	temperature,	coefficient,	solubility,	factor,	conc.,	soil
	D_a	D_w	Н	T_R	$DH_{v,b}$	T_B	T_C	K_oc	S	URF	RfC	temperature,
	(cm ² /s)	(cm ² /s)	(atm-m ³ /mol)	(°C)	(cal/mol)	(°K)	(°K)	(cm ³ /g)	(mg/L)	(mg/m ³) ⁻¹	(mg/m ³)	(S,L,G)
Chloroform	1.04E-01	1.00E-05	3.66E-03	25	6,988	334.32	536.40	3.98E+01	7.92E+03	5.3E-06	3.0E-01	L
1,1-Dichloroethylene	9.00E-02	1.04E-05	2.60E-02	25	6,247	304.75	576.05	5.89E+01	2.25E+03	0.0E+00	7.0E-02	L
Tetrachloroethylene	7.20E-02	8.20E-06	1.84E-02	25	8,288	394.40	620.20	1.55E+02	2.00E+02	5.9E-06	3.5E-02	L
Toluene	8.70E-02	8.60E-06	6.62E-03	25	7,930	383.78	591.79	1.82E+02	5.26E+02	0.0E+00	3.0E-01	L
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	7.80E-02	8.80E-06	1.72E-02	25	7,136	347.24	545.00	1.10E+02	1.33E+03	0.0E+00	2.2E+00	L
Trichloroethylene	7.90E-02	9.10E-06	1.03E-02	25	7,505	360.36	544.20	1.66E+02	1.47E+03	2.0E-06	6.0E-01	Ĺ
p-Xylene	7.69E-02	8.44E-06	7.64E-03	25	8,525	411.52	616.20	3.89E+02	1.85E+02	0.0E+00	7.0E-01	L

END

Attachment C-1: Soil Vapor Attenuation Factors for Vapor Intrusion, COPCs in Soil Vapor -- Indoor Commercial/Industrial Worker, Intermediate Calculations Sheet

	t (sec)	Source- building separation, L _T (cm)	Stratum A soil air-filled porosity, q _a ^A (cm ³ /cm ³)	Stratum B soil air-filled porosity, q _a ^B (cm ³ /cm ³)	Stratum C soil air-filled porosity, q _a ^C (cm ³ /cm ³)	Stratum A effective total fluid saturation, Ste (cm³/cm³)	Stratum A soil intrinsic permeability, k _i (cm ²)	Stratum A soil relative air permeability, k _{rg} (cm ²)	Stratum A soil effective vapor permeability, k _v (cm ²)	Floor- wall seam perimeter, X _{crack} (cm)	Initial soil concentration used, C _R (mg/kg)	Bldg. ventilation rate, Q _{building} (cm ³ /s)	=
	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
·	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
p-Xylene 7.8	88E+08	40	0.321	0.321	0.150	0.003	1.01E-07	0.998	1.01E-07	4,000	0.00E+00	6.78E+04	
	rea of	Crack-	Crack	Enthalpy of	Henry's law	Henry's law	Vapor	Stratum A	Stratum B	Stratum C	Total overall		
	space	to-total	depth	vaporization at	constant at	constant at	viscosity at	effective	effective	effective	effective	Diffusion	Convection
	below	area	below	ave. soil	ave. soil	ave. soil	ave. soil	diffusion	diffusion	diffusion	diffusion	path	path
	grade,	ratio,	grade,	temperature,	temperature,	temperature,	temperature,	coefficient,	coefficient,	coefficient,	coefficient,	length,	length,
_	A _B	h	Z_{crack}	DH _{v,TS}	H _{TS}	H' _{TS}	m _{TS}	D ^{eff} _A	D ^{eff} _B	D ^{eff} _C	D ^{eff} _T	L _d	L _p
				*	(atm-m ³ /mol)			(cm ² /s)	(cm ² /s)	(cm ² /s)	(cm ² /s)		•
	(cm ²)	(unitless)	(cm)	(cal/mol)	(alin-in /inoi)	(unitless)	(g/cm-s)	(СП /5)	(СПГ/S)	(СПГ/5)	(СП /5)	(cm)	(cm)
Oblanata was	00F.00 I	4.005.04	2	7 400	0.005.00	4.005.04	4 705 04	4.005.00	4.005.00	0.005.00	0.075.00	40	
	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	7,429	3.22E-03	1.33E-01	1.79E-04	1.68E-02	1.68E-02	2.09E-03	2.67E-03	40	9
	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	6,313	2.34E-02	9.65E-01	1.79E-04	1.45E-02	1.45E-02	1.80E-03	2.31E-03	40	9
·	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	9,431	1.56E-02	6.45E-01	1.79E-04	1.16E-02	1.16E-02	1.44E-03	1.85E-03	40	9
	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	9,023	5.67E-03	2.34E-01	1.79E-04	1.41E-02	1.41E-02	1.75E-03	2.23E-03	40	9
	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	7,754	1.50E-02	6.20E-01	1.79E-04	1.26E-02	1.26E-02	1.56E-03	2.00E-03	40	9
<u> </u>	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	8,407	8.89E-03	3.67E-01	1.79E-04	1.28E-02	1.28E-02	1.58E-03	2.03E-03	40	9
p-Xylene 1.0	00E+06	4.00E-04	9	10,107	6.42E-03	2.65E-01	1.79E-04	1.24E-02	1.24E-02	1.54E-03	1.98E-03	40	9
				Average	Crack		Exponent of equivalent	Infinite source	Infinite				Exposure
Soi	il-water	Source		vapor	effective		foundation	indoor	source			Time for	duration >
pa	artition	vapor	Crack	flow rate	diffusion	Area of	Peclet	attenuation	bldg.	Finite	Finite	source	time for
	efficient,	conc.,	radius,	into bldg.,	coefficient,	crack,	number,	coefficient,	conc.,	source	source	depletion,	source
	K_d	C_{source}	r _{crack}	Q_{soil}	D ^{crack}	A_{crack}	exp(Pe ^t)	а	$C_{building}$	b term	y term	t_{D}	depletion
	cm ³ /g)	(mg/m ³)	(cm)	(cm ³ /s)	(cm ² /s)	(cm ²)	(unitless)	(unitless)	(mg/m ³)	(unitless)	(sec) ⁻¹	(sec)	(YES/NO)
	9/	(9,)	(0111)	(0,0)	(5 7-5)	(0)	(dritticoo)	(drifticoo)	(9,)	(drifticoo)	(555)	(300)	(120/140)
Chloroform 7.9	96E-02	0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.68E-02	4.00E+02	2.72E+48	5.47E-04	0.00E+00	NA	NA	NA	NA
	18E-01	0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.45E-02	4.00E+02 4.00E+02	9.30E+55	5.03E-04	0.00E+00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
		0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.45E-02	4.00E+02	9.13E+69	4.39E-04	0.00E+00	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
·	64E-01	0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.41E-02	4.00E+02	7.91E+57	4.93E-04	0.00E+00	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
	20E-01	0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.26E-02	4.00E+02	3.79E+64	4.61E-04	0.00E+00	NA	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA
		J.JJ_ 100	5.10			7.00L 10L						1 47 1	
	32E-01	0.00E+00	0.10	8.33E+01	1.28E-02	4.00E+02	5.78E+63	4.65E-04	0.00E+00	NA	NA	NA	NA